



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/563,894	06/01/2006	Katri Keski-Nisula	LM1001.820714	7027
21831 7590 04/23/2009 WOLF BLOCK SCHORR AND SOLIS-COHEN LLP 250 PARK AVENUE NEW YORK, NY 10177			EXAMINER PATEL, YOGESH P	
			ART UNIT 3732	PAPER NUMBER
			NOTIFICATION DATE 04/23/2009	DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PTO@WOLFBLOCK.COM  
ggress@wolfblock.com

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/563,894	<b>Applicant(s)</b> KESKI-NISULA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> YOGESH PATEL	<b>Art Unit</b> 3732	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) YOGESH PATEL.

(3) AUDE, GERSPACHER.

(2) RALPH, LEWIS.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 20 April 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: CATHCART ET AL. (2,966,908).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Ref. by Cathcart was discussed. Applicant argued that Cathcart comprises an inner wall (e.g. wall 7) which is not present in Applicant's invention. To overcome Cathcart, the Examiner suggested incorporating language such as "the device consist of." No agreement was made during the interview. .

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/YOGESH PATEL/  
Examiner, Art Unit 3732

/Ralph Lewis/  
Primary Examiner, AU 3732